

Attorney Docket: 86397

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re U.S. Patent Application

Satoshi Komiya et al.

Serial No.:

Applicant:

10/049,875

Filed:

February 12, 2002

For:

SILICON WAFER AND METHOD FOR

MANUFACTURE THEREOF, AND METHOD

OF EVALUATION OF SILICON WAFER

Confirmation No.: 2326

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents /

Washington, DC 20231, on March 28, 2003

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97, a list of documents is disclosed on the attached Form PTO-1449 that may be material to the examination of this application. The listed Documents are enclosed herewith.

No inferences should be drawn that the attached list represents a comprehensive investigation, or that any material disclosed is equivalent to the subject invention. In addition, none of the documents that have publication dates prior to the priority date of the above application anticipate the invention in this application.

The cited document(s) disclose numerous specific features. There has been no attempt to list each and every feature disclosed by each document. The Examiner is requested to review the document(s) and determine the extent of the materiality of the document disclosures with respect to the present invention.

The discussion of any art and the citation of any document(s) herein is not to be construed as an admission that the art or document disclosure is necessarily within the invention field of endeavor, that the art or document disclosure is necessarily prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant to the instant patent application, and/or that the art or document disclosure is otherwise necessarily prior art as Serial No. 10/049,875

Dated: March 28, 2003 Welsh & Katz, Ltd.

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: 312/655-1500

120 South Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor

defined by the patent law with respect to the instant invention and application.

Also, there is reserved the right to later set forth how the instant invention is distinguished over the disclosure of any document or other art, including the disclosures of the art and document(s) recited herein, that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application. The recitation herein of the art and document(s) is not to be construed as an assertion that more pertinent art could not possibly be in existence.

Respectfully submitted,

WELŞH & KATZ, LTD.

Sould [ Subte

Gerald T. Shekleton

Registration No. 27,466